IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

PATRICK NOEL TAPSOBA, :

:

Plaintiff, :

.

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO.

1:13-CV-1519-RWS

KHIANI ALPHARETTA, LLC

a Georgia Limited Liability : Company, MEETA KHIANI :

Individual, and ARJUN KHIANI : Individual :

•

Defendants. :

ORDER

This case comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss

Defendants' Counterclaim [8]. After reviewing the record, the Court enters the following Order.

Background

Plaintiff filed the instant case raising claims against Defendants for unpaid overtime compensation in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. (Compl., Dkt. [1]) Defendants filed a Counterclaim in their Answer, which reads in its entirety: "Counterclaim

against Plaintiff: for negligence (for negligence of false statements relating to taxes avoidance) value to be furnished." (Defs.' Answer to Pl.'s Compl. and Countercl., Dkt. [7]) Plaintiff now moves to dismiss Defendants' Counterclaim for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to Federal Rule of Procedure ("Rule") 12(b)(6). (Pl.'s Mot. to Dismiss Defs.' Countercl., Dkt. [8] at 1-2.) Defendants have failed to file a response, and therefore the motion is deemed unopposed. LR 7.1B, NDGa. The Court sets out the legal standard governing a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss before considering Plaintiff's motion on the merits.

Discussion

When considering a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss, a federal court is to accept as true "all facts set forth in the plaintiff's complaint." Grossman v. Nationsbank, N.A., 225 F.3d 1228, 1231 (11th Cir. 2000) (citation omitted). Further, the court must draw all reasonable inferences in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555-56 (2007) (internal citations omitted). However, "[a] pleading that offers 'labels and conclusions' or 'a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do.'" Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting Twombly,

550 U.S. at 555). "Nor does a complaint suffice if it tenders 'naked assertion[s]' devoid of 'further factual enhancement." Id.

The United States Supreme Court has dispensed with the rule that a complaint may only be dismissed under Rule 12(b)(6) when "it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." Twombly, 550 U.S. at 561 (quoting Conley v.Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957)). The Supreme Court has replaced that rule with the "plausibility standard," which requires that factual allegations "raise the right to relief above the speculative level." Id. at 556. The plausibility standard "does not[, however] impose a probability requirement at the pleading stage; it simply calls for enough facts to raise a reasonable expectation that discovery will reveal evidence [supporting the claim]." Id. In other words, although a pleading need not contain "detailed factual allegations," it must contain more than "an unadorned, the defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." <u>Igbal</u>, 556 U.S. at 678 (quoting Twombly, 550 U.S. at 555).

In the instant case, Defendants have done nothing more than "tender [a] 'naked assertion' devoid of 'further factual enhancement." <u>Id</u>. (quoting <u>Twombly</u>, 550 U.S. at 555). The Counterclaim consists solely of an

"unadorned, the defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." <u>Iqbal</u>, 556 U.S. at 678. Defendants have failed to plead any facts in support of their Counterclaim, and therefore the Court finds Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Defendants' Counterclaim [8] due to be **GRANTED**.

Conclusion

In accordance with the foregoing, Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss

Defendants' Counterclaim [8] is GRANTED

SO ORDERED, this <u>11th</u> day of September, 2013.

RICHARD W. STORY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE